

Testing Narrow-line Seyfert 1 scenarios with photoionization models

STEFANIE KOMOSSA¹ AND JANEK MEERSCHWEINCHEN²

¹ Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, D-85740 Garching, Germany; skomossa@mpe.mpg.de

² Weststrasse 19, D-3063 Obernkirchen 2, Germany

1. Introduction

Narrow Line Seyfert 1 (NLSy1) galaxies have recently received a lot of attention due to their unusual optical–X-ray properties (e.g., Puchnarewicz et al. 1992, Brandt et al. 1997, Grupe et al. 1999) which are not yet well understood. Photoionization models of the circum-nuclear emission/absorption regions allow to investigate scenarios to explain the main characteristics of NLSy1s, i.e., (i) extremely steep X-ray spectra within the *ROSAT* energy range, (ii) narrow (FWHM < 2000 km/s) Balmer lines and (iii) weak forbidden lines except for some relatively strong high-ionization iron lines. Here, we focus on (iii) due to the short space (for a discussion of (i) and (ii) see Komossa & Fink 1997a, and Komossa & Janek 1999). In particular, we study the influence of different EUV - soft-X-ray spectral shapes (a giant soft excess, a steep X-ray powerlaw, presence of a warm absorber) and NLR cloud properties on the predicted optical emission-line ratios. The calculations were carried out with the code *Cloudy* (Ferland, 1993).

2. Modeling the optical NLR emission lines

The narrow emission lines, i.e. those originating from the narrow line region (NLR), like [OIII]λ5007 and [OI]λ6300, are rather weak in many NLSy1s. Occasionally, however, fairly strong high-ionization iron lines are present. We investigate several models to explain these observations, starting with the assumption that the NLR is ‘normal’ (i.e. a Seyfert-typical one, as far as distance from the nucleus, gas density and covering factor are concerned).

In a first step, non-solar metals abundances were employed. *Overabundant* metals (with respect to the solar value) were shown to delay the complete removal of a BLR multiphase equilibrium (Komossa & Janek 1999). Due to their rather strong influence on the cooling, metals, if overabundant, can lead to weaker optical line emission. However, we find the effect to be insufficient to explain the observed line intensities.

As shown in Komossa & Schulz (1997) the weak [OIII]λ5007 end of the line correlations in the usual diagnostic diagrams for Seyfert 2s can be explained by very steep EUV continua with $\alpha_{\text{UV-X}} \approx -2.5$. Although, e.g., the NLSy1 RX J1225.7+2055 exhibits a rather steep EUV spectrum (determined by a powerlaw connection between the flux at the Lyman limit and 0.1 keV), that of RX J1239.3+2431 is very flat (Greiner et al. 1996).

Placing warm absorbing material along the line of sight to the NLR would make the latter see a continuum that is only modified in the soft X-ray region, with negligible influence on the line emission. The same holds for an intrinsically steep X-ray powerlaw, which only leads to a slight weakening of low-ionization lines.

In case a warm absorber is present, high-ionization iron lines ([FeX] and higher) can be produced within the warm gas itself (see Komossa & Fink 1997a,b,c for details). However, no one-to-one match between the observed coronal lines in the NLSy1 NGC 4051 and those predicted to arise from the X-ray warm absorber in this galaxy was found (Komossa & Fink 1997a), suggesting that in general, coronal line region and warm absorber are separate components.

In order to assess the influence of a strong EUV - soft-X-ray excess on optical line emission, we have calculated a sequence of models with an underlying mean Seyfert continuum plus a black body of varying temperature for a range of densities and distances of the NLR gas from the central source. Although the contribution of a hot bump-component can considerably strengthen the high-ionization iron lines (like [FeX]λ6374, [FeVII]λ6087 and [FeXIV]λ5303), reflecting the fact that their ionization potentials are at soft X-ray energies, these models overpredict the [OIII] emission.

We conclude that the weakness of forbidden lines in NLSy1s must be due to an overall lower emissivity of the NLR. If this is caused by a shielding of the NLR from photons, care must be taken not to boost the low-ionization lines like [OII]. More likely, the region is short of gas, i.e. less of the impinging photons can be reprocessed to line emission. ‘Normal’ line ratios would then leave the weak forbidden lines undetected. For those objects with strong high-ionization (iron) lines, models favor the dominance of low-*r*, low-density gas.

References

- Brandt W.N., Mathur S., Elvis M.: 1997, MNRAS 271, 958
- Ferland G.: 1993, Univ. of Kentucky, Phys. Dept, Int. Report
- Greiner J., et al.: 1996, A&A 310, 384
- Grupe D., et al.: 1998, A&A 330, 25; 1999, A&A accepted
- Komossa S., Janek M.: 1999, A&A, submitted
- Komossa S., Fink H.: 1997a, A&A 322, 719
- Komossa S., Fink H.: 1997b, in *Emission Lines in Active Galaxies: New Methods and Techniques*, B.M. Peterson et al. (eds), ASP conf. ser. 113, 246
- Komossa S., Fink H.: 1997c, Lecture Notes in Physics 487, 250; (astro-ph/9612185)
- Komossa S., Schulz H.: 1997, A&A 323, 31
- Puchnarewicz E.M., et al.: 1992, MNRAS 256, 589